Comment Set C.173: Robert and Relinda Fisher

October 3, 2006

John Boccio, CPUC, EIR Project Manager Marian Kadota, USFS, EIS Project Manager Aspen Environmental Group 30423 Canwood Street, Suite 215 Agoura Hills, CA 91301

RE: Antelope Pardee 500 kV Transmission Project Proposed by Southern California Edison Application No. A.04-12-007

Dear Mr. Boccio and Ms. Kadota:

We are strongly opposed to the California Public Utilities Commission and United States Forest Service proposed Alternate 5 route to the Southern California Edison proposed Antelope Pardee Transmission Project, Segment 1.

We understand that the Alternate 5 route abandons a designated utility corridor on public National Forest Service land and to replace it with a new 18.8 mile utility corridor on private land. Relocation of this utility corridor will displace people, homes, and businesses. Adequate right-of-ways are already present therefore we do not see any justification for removal of personal property or homes. We have learned that operational activities of the transmission project will substantially decrease property values along the Alternate 5 route alignment. The Draft EIR/EIS indicates there are 103 parcels what will be traversed by the route but the actual route is unknown and the detailed alignment studies will not be initiated unless this alternate is approved. The number of impacted property owners could be far greater than indicated. Alternate 5 is a significantly longer route and will negatively impact air quality, biological resources, land use, noise, water quality and traffic. It seems that the draft EIR/EIS fails to adequately evaluate the socioeconomic, cultural and visual impact of Alterate 5. Our community's value will be decreased, if not destroyed, by a decreased quality of life, condemnation of homes and businesses, the unsightly towers and serious negative health implications of them. We understand that Alternate 5 would create more of a negative impact than the original proposed project.

C.173-1

Please eliminate Alternate 5 from any further consideration!

Sincerely,

Robert and Relinda Fisher 10067 Escondido Canyon Road Agua Dulce, CA 91390 (661) 268-1205

Final EIR/EIS Ap.8C-465 December 2006

Response to Comment Set C.173: Robert and Relinda Fisher

C.173-1 Thank you for your opinion regarding Alternative 5. The proposed Project and each of the alternative routes would result in impacts to a number of issue areas that include air quality (Section C.2), biological resources (Section C.3), geology (Section C.5), public health and safety (Section C.6), hydrology and water quality (Section C.8), land use and recreation (Section C.9), socioeconomics (Section C.12) and visual resources (C.15), which are discussed in the aforementioned sections of the Draft EIR/EIS.